CSCI 6461 GRADING RUBRIC FOR TEAM PROJECTS - Sections lectured by M. Lancaster

The items below define the grading criteria for projects in this course. Note

REQUIREMENT	PER CENT	CLARIFICATION
DELIVERY PACKAGE COMPLETENESS		
Submission in Blackboard with correct file naming		Packages submitted with incorrect naming will be returned to team ungraded for correct submission
GitHub Submit Logs		If not included, project is returned to team ungraded for resubmission
We dive E and delta IAB Site		Ensure that your executable File will execute on the grader's machine - Test the executable thoroughly - If grader has difficulty executing the file, it will be returned to the team ungraded for
Working Executable JAR file		correction and resubmission
Includes correct user guide which is easy to understand and use.		If not included, project is returned to team ungraded for resubmission
and use.		If not included, project is returned to team ungraded for re-
Test Files Included		submission
Design Notes Included		If not included, project is returned to team ungraded for resubmission
CORRECTNESS EVALUATION		
JAR file executes according to specification	40	Grader's discretion on how well the project executes
Test files indicate complete testing and work with the JAR file	10	Ensure that your testing was complete.
User Guide Correctness	20	Grader's discretion on how well the User Guide is written and is usable
		Not too many and not to few comments. Best to comment sections of code and lines that will not be clear to a programmer. Avoid using comments such as "add 1 to PC" which is clear in the
Source code included and well documented	20	code.
Design Notes match project and code structure	10	Simple design notes that show the basic classes and what the functions performed and data used by the classes